

n -Dual Square Free Ring

Abdul-Qawe Kaed

Mathematics Department, Faculty of Applied Science, Tamar University, Yemen.

abdulqawe.dabouan@tu.edu.ye

Abstract

In this work we introduce and study : In the part three (main result) which is called n - dual square free ring (n -DSF-ring for a short), in the part four some important results of n -dual square free rings with some kinds rings, in the part five some algebra operations on n -dual square free rings and we give example, properties, propositions, theorems and corollaries about them.

Keywords: n -Dual Square-Free Ring

حلقة حرة ثنائية المربعات- n

المخلص

نقدم في هذا العمل وندرس: في الجزء الثالث (النتيجة الرئيسية) والتي تسمى n - حلقة حرة ثنائية المربعات (n -DSF-ring باختصار)، وفي الجزء الرابع بعض النتائج المهمة لحلقات حرة ثنائية المربعات n مع بعض أنواع الحلقات، وفي الجزء الخامس بعض العمليات الجبرية على- n حلقة حرة ثنائية المربعات ونعطي أمثلة وخصائص ومقترحات ونظريات ومشتقات عنها. الكلمات المفتاحية: حلقة حرة-ثنائية المربعات- n .

1 Introduction

Throughout this work all rings are noncommutative with unit unless otherwise noted and all R -modules are left R .

In [22], the concept of a square free ring was introduced and studied. It was defined as : A ring R is called a square-free if R is a square free R -module. The notion of square-freeness is very important in a ring theory and it is used as a tool to establish many useful and significant results in the research most notably.

A dual notion to square-free ring was introduced most recently in [14], and it was defined as : A ring R is called a dual square free ring (a DSF- ring for a short), if R is a dual square free R -module (i.e it was defined as : A ring R is called a dual square free (a DSF- module for a short), if R has no proper ideals A and B with $R = A + B$ with $R/A \cong R/B$. This work consists of five parts. We introduce and study in part one the introduction of the work, in part two some preliminaries, in part three the concept of n -dual square free ring (main result) as the generalized of the concept of a dual square free ring and it is defined as: A ring R is called n -dual square free ring (n -DSF-ring for a short), if R is n -dual square free R -module (i.e For a ring R and a positive integer n , a ring R is called n -dual-square (n -DSF for a short), if R has no proper ideal $I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n$ with $R = I_1 + I_2 + \dots + I_n$ with $R/I_i \cong R/I_j$ and $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ with $i \neq j$ and $n \geq 2$) 3.1, where the concept of R n -DSF- R module is defined as: For a ring R and a positive integer n . An R -module M is called n -dual-square free R -module n -DSF R -module, if M has no proper submodules $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_n$ with $M = M_1 + M_2 + \dots + M_n$ and $M/M_i \cong M/M_j$ and $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n, i \neq j$ and $n \geq 2$. ??.

In 3.3, we give some important remarks as the following : Let R be a ring. R is a DSF ring this equivalent R is 2-DSF ring. R is called a distributive ring if $I \cap (I_1 + I_2) = I \cap I_1 + I \cap I_2$ for all I, I_1 and $I_2 \in R$. R is distributive ring if and only if every ideal of R is DSF [22],. R is called n -DSF distributive ring if $I \cap (I_1 + I_2 + \dots + I_n) = I \cap I_1 + I \cap I_2 + \dots + I \cap I_n$ for all $I, I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n \in R$. R is n -DSF distributive ring if and only if every ideals of R is n -DSF. An ideal J of a ring R is called a proper if $J \subsetneq R$ and finally it is obviously that every n -DSF ring R is k -DSF R for every positive integer $k \leq n$. In 3.4, we give characterization of n -DSF ring as: R is n -DSF ring if and only if R is n -DSF as R -module,. In 3.5, explain that if R is a distributive n -DSF ring if and only if R is n^2 -DSF ring. In 3.6, R is a DSF-ring if and only if

R is n -DSF ring. In 3.7, we give the important characterization of n -DSF-ring as: R is n -DSF ring if and only if R is a finitely generated ring. In 3.11, if R is n -DSF ring, then every ideal of R is n -DSF and R/I is n -DSF ring, where I is an ideal of R . In 3.12, we give characterization of n DSF ring as : Let R be a ring the following are equivalents: R is n -DSF ring if and only if R is finitely generated ring if and only if R is Noetherian ring if and only if R is finitely presented ring if and only if R is a coherent ring. In 3.13, let R be a ring then the following are holds : Every ideal of finitely generated ring R is n -DSF, every ideal of Noetherian ring R is n -DSF, every ideal of finitely presented ring R is n -DSF and every ideal of a coherent ring R is n -DSF.

In 3.14, we study the behavior of n -DSF rings on the short exact sequence of a homomorphism rings such that $0 \rightarrow S \xrightarrow{f} R \xrightarrow{g} T \rightarrow 0$, then we have the following cases : R is n -DSF if and only if S and T are n -DSF. S and R are n -DSF, then T is n -DSF. R and T are n -DSF, then S is n -DSF. R is n -DSF if and only if $S \oplus T$ is n -DSF. In 3.15, Let R be a ring. I, J and L be ideals of R . Let $0 \rightarrow I \xrightarrow{f} L \rightarrow J \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence of homomorphism rings, then we have the following cases : L is n -DSF ideal if and only if I and J are n -DSF ideals. I and L are n -DSF ideals, then J is n -DSF ideal. L and J are n -DSF ideals, then I is n -DSF ideal. I and J n -DSF ideals if and only if $I \oplus J$ is n -DSF ideal.

In part four we introduce and study some important results of n -dual square free rings with some Kinds Rings as the following: Let R be a ring and if R a maximal 4.1, a finitely generated 4.2, a local (A ring R is called a local if it has a unique maximal ideal) 4.3, a semilocal (A ring R is called a semilocal if it has finitely many maximal ideals) 4.4, Noetherian 4.6, pricepal ideal domain 4.7, and prime ideal 4.8, rings, then R is n -DSF ring in every case. In 4.5, let R be a ring and I be an ideal of R , if I is n -DSF ideal and R/I is n -DSF ring, then R is also n -DSF ring. In 4.9, we gave an example and proved $\mathbb{Z}$ is a n -DSF ring.

In part five we introduce and study some algebra operations on n DSF ring such that in 3.7, let R and S be n -DSF rings, then we have the following cases : $R+S, R \cap S$ and $\times$ are n -DSF rings. In 5.2, we generalized 5.1,. Also in 5.2, let R_i be n -DSF rings, then we have the following cases : $\sum_{i=1}^n R_i, \cap_{i=1}^n R_i$ and $\prod_{i=1}^n R_i$ are n -DSF rings. In 5.3, let I and J be ideals of a ring R and if R is distributive ring, then we have the following cases: $I+J, I \cap J$ and $I \times J$ are n -DSF rings. In 5.4, we generalized

5.3, such that I_i are n -DSF ideals, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then $\sum_{i=1}^n I_i$, $\cap_{i=1}^n I_i$ and $\prod_{i=1}^n I_i$ are n -DSF ideals.

2 Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. [14] For a ring R and a positive integer n . An R -module M is called n -dual-square free R -module n -DSF R -module, if M has no proper submodules $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_n$ with $M =$

$M_1 + M_2 + \dots + M_n$ and $M/M_i \cong M/M_j$ and $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $i \neq j$ and $n \geq 2$.

Definition 2.2. For a ring R , an R -module R is called finitely generated (f. g for short), for every family $\{T_i\}_{i \in I}$ of ideals of R with $R = \sum_{i \in I} T_i$, there is a finite subset $J \subset I$ such that $R = \sum_{i \in J} T_i$.

Definition 2.3. An ideal I in a ring R is called a maximal if $I \neq R$ and I is such that if J is an ideal with $I \subseteq J \subseteq R$, then $I = J$ or $J = R$.

3 n -Dual Square Free ring

In this part we introduce and study the concept of n -dual square free ring (n -DSF ring for short) and some results about it.

Definition 3.1. A ring R is called n -dual square free ring (n -DSF for a short), if R is n -DSF R module. (i.e For a ring R and a positive integer n , a ring R is called n -dual-square (n -DSF for a short), if R has no proper ideals $I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n$ with $R = I_1 + I_2 + \dots + I_n$ with $R/I_i \cong R/I_j$ and $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ with $i \neq j$ and $n \geq 2$)

Definition 3.2. Let R be a ring.

1. A ring R is called a dual square free (a DSF for a short) if it is a DSF R module. [14]
2. A ring R is called finitely generated if it is finitely generated R module. [1]
3. A ring R is called Noetherian if it is Noetherian R module. [13]
4. A ring R is called finitely presented if it is finitely presented R module. [27]
5. A ring R is called coherent if it is coherent R module. [27]

Remark 3.3. Let R be a ring:

1. A ring R is called distributive n -DSF ring, if R is distributive n - DSF R -module, i.e $J \cap (J_1 + J_2 + \dots + J_n) = J \cap J_1 + J \cap J_2 + \dots + J \cap J_n$, for all $J, J_1, J_2, \dots, J_n$ are R -submodules of R .
2. Let R be a distributive ring, then R is a DSF RING this equivalent R is 2-DSF ring.
3. Let R be distributive ring, then this equivalent R is 2-DSF ring. [14]
4. Distributive n - DSF ring if and only if every ideal of R is n - DSF as R -submodule of R . [22]
5. An ideal I of a n -DSF ring R is called a proper if $I \subsetneq R$.
6. Obviously, every n -DSF ring is k -DSF for every positive integer $k \leq n$.

Lemma 3.4. A ring R is n -DSF if and only if R is n -DSF R -module.

Proof. Suppose that R is n -DSF ring. It is clear that R is an R -module and every ideal of a ring is R -submodules of R as R -module. From the hypothesis R has no proper submodules $I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n$ with $R = I_1 + I_2 + \dots + I_n$. It is easily to prove that $R/I_i \cong R/I_j, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ with $i \neq j$. Hence R is n - DSF as R -module.

Conversely, let R be n - DSF as R -module, then by 3.1, R is n -DSF ring.

Proposition 3.5. Let R be a distributive ring. R is n -DSF if and only if R is n^2 -DSF.

Proof. Let R be a distributive ring, then R is a distributive R -module. Suppose that [12], R is n -DSF ring if and only if by 3.4, R is n -DSF R -module if and only if by 3.5, R is n^2 -DSF R -module if and only if R is n^2 -DSF ring.

Proposition 3.6. Let R be a ring distributive. R is a DSF if and only if R is n - DSF.

Proof. Let R be a ring distributive ring, then R is a distributive R -module. Suppose that R is a DSF ring this is equivalent that by 3.4, R is a DSF R -module and by [12], and 3.3, R is n - DSF R -module if and only if by ref175t, R is n - DSF ring.

Theorem 3.7. Let R be an n -DSF distributive ring. R is a n -DSF ring if and only if R is finitely generated ring.

Proof. Suppose that R is n -DSF distributive ring, then R is n -DSF distributive R -module. that is equivalent that by 3.1, R is n -DSF R -module that is equivalent that by [12], R is finitely generated R module that is equivalent that by 3.2, R is finitely generated ring.

Theorem 3.8. Let R be a ring. R is finitely generated if and only if R is n -DSF ring.

Proof. Let R be finitely generated ring this equivalent that by 3.2 R is finitely generated R -module if and only if by [12], R is n -DSF R -module if and only if by 3.4, R is n -DSF ring.

Proposition 3.9. *Let R be n -DSF distributive ring, then every ideal of R is n -DSF.*

Proof. Let R be n -DSF distributive ring this equivalent that R be n -DSF distributive module and by 3.7, 3.8, R is finitely generated R -module and let J be an ideal of R this implies that J is finitely generated R submodule of R that implies that by [14], J is n -DSF R submodule of R , hence by 3.4, J is n -DSF ideal.

Proposition 3.10. *Let R be n -DSF distributive ring, then R/I is n -DSF ring, where I is an ideal of R .*

Proof. Let R be n -DSF distributive ring this equivalent that R be n -DSF distributive module and let I be an ideal of a ring R , then I is R -submodule of R_R module, then R/I is the quotient R -module and by 3.7, 3.8, R is finitely generated R -module. By 3.7, this implies that R/I is also finitely generated R -module and hence by 3.7, R/I is n -DSF R -module that is equivalent that 3.4, R/I is n -DSF ring.

Proposition 3.11. *Let R be finitely generated ring and let I be an ideal of a ring R , then*

1. I is n -DSF ideal of a ring R .
2. R/I is n -DSF ring.

Proof. 1. Let R be finitely generated ring, then by 3.2, R is finitely generated R -module this implies that R is n -DSF R -module, then I is finitely generated R -submodule of R this equivalent that I is n -DSF as R -submodule of R is R -submodule, hence by 3.4, I is n -DSF ideal.

2. Let R be finitely generated ring, then by ??, R is finitely generated R -module this implies that, R/I is finitely generated R -module and by 3.7, R/I is n -DSF R -module, hence by 3.4 R/I is n -DSF ring.

Theorem 3.12. *Let R be a ring. The following are equivalents :*

1. R is n -DSF ring.
2. R is finitely generated ring.
3. R is Noetherian ring.
4. R is finitely presented ring.
5. R is a coherent ring.

Proof. 1. (1) $\implies$ (2). Suppose that R is n -DSF ring that is equivalent that R is n -DSF R -module this is equivalent that R is finitely generated module and hence by 3.6 R is finitely generated ring.

2. (2) $\implies$ (3). Assume that R is finitely generated ring that is equivalent that R is finitely generated R -module by [?] R is Noetherian R -module and hence R is Noetherian ring.

3. (3) $\implies$ (4). Assume that R is Noetherian ring this is equivalent that R is Noetherian R -module then by [13] R is finitely generated R -module, then R is finitely presented R module and hence R is finitely presented ring.

4. (4) $\implies$ (5). Suppose that R is finitely presented ring that is equivalent that R is finitely presented R -module this equivalent that R is a coherent R -module and hence R is a coherent ring.

5. (5) $\implies$ (1). Suppose that R is a coherent ring this equivalent that R is a coherent R -module, then R is finitely presented R module this equivalent that R is finitely generated R module this equivalent that R is n -DSF R -module and hence R is n -DSF ring.

Corollary 3.13. *Let R be a ring and R be an R -module, the following are holds :*

1. Every ideal of a finitely generated ring is n -DSF ideal.
2. Every ideal of a Noetherian ring is n -DSF ideal.
3. Every ideal of a finitely presented ring is n -DSF ideal.

4. Every ideal of a coherent R ring is n -DSF ideal.

Proof. 1. Let R be finitely generated ring, then R is finitely generated R -module this implies that by 3.12, R is n -DSF R -module and by [12], I is n -DSF R -submodule of R , hence by 3.4, I is n -DSF ideal.

2. Let R be Neotherian R -module ring, then R is Neotherian R -module this implies that by 3.12, R is n -DSF R -module and by [12], I is n -DSF R -submodule of R , hence by 3.4, I is n -DSF ideal.

3. Let R be finitely presented ring, then R is finitely presented R -module this implies that by 3.12, R is n -DSF R -module and by [12], I is n -DSF R -submodule of R , hence by 3.4, I is n -DSF ideal.

4. Let R be a coherent ring, then R is coherent R -module this implies that by 3.12, R is n -DSF R -module and by [12], I is n -DSF R -submodule of R , hence by 3.4, I is n -DSF ideal.

Theorem 3.14. Let R, S, T be rings and $0 \rightarrow S \rightarrow R \rightarrow T \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence of R -homomorphism rings, then we have the following cases :

1. R is n -DSF ring if and only if S and T are n -DSF rings.

2. S and R are n -DSF ring, then T is n -DSF ring.

3. R and T are n -DSF ring, then S is n -DSF ring.

4. T and S n -DSF ring if and only if $R \oplus T$ is n -DSF ring.

Proof. 1. Suppose that R is n -DSF ring this equivalent that R is n -DSF R -module this equivalent that R finitely generated R -module this equivalent that S and T are finitely generated R -modules this equivalent that S and T are n -DSF R -modules and from 3.4 this equivalent that S and T are n -DSF rings.

Conversely, Suppose that S and T are n -DSF rings and by 3.6 this equivalent that R finitely generated R -module and this equivalent this equivalent that R is n -DSF R -module and hence this equivalent that R is n -DSF ring.

2. Suppose that S and R are n -DSF rings, then they are finitely generated R -modules and T must be finitely generated R -module because if it is not this implies that R is not finitely generated module. Hence T is finitely generated ring and it is n -DSF R -module, then by 3.6 T is n -DSF ring.

3. Suppose that T and R are n -DSF rings, then they are n -DSF-modules and this equivalent that T and R are finitely generated R -modules and so S finitely generated R -module this equivalent that S is n -DSF R -module and from 3.6 this equivalent that S is n -DSF ring.

4. Assume that the short exact sequence of R -modules: $0 \rightarrow S \rightarrow R \rightarrow T \rightarrow 0$ We Apply (1) S and T are n -DSF R -modules, then $R = S \oplus T$ is n -DSF R -module.

Corollary 3.15. Let R be a ring. I, J and L be ideals. Let $0 \rightarrow I \xrightarrow{f} L \rightarrow J \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence of homomorphism rings, then we have the following cases :

1. L is n -DSF ideal if and only if I and J are n -DSF ideals.

2. I and L are n -DSF ideals, then J is n -DSF ideal.

3. L and J are n -DSF ideals, then I is n -DSF ideals.

4. I and J n -DSF ideals if and only if $I \oplus J$ is n -DSF ideal .

Proof. 1. Let I and J be ideals of a ring R , then by 3.4, I, J and L are R -modules and from [12], in 3.1.3. Suppose that I, J are n -DSF R -modules that is equivalent that L is n -DSF R -module.

2. Let I and L be ideals of a ring R , then by 3.4, I, L are R -modules and from [12], in 3.1.3. Suppose that I, L are n -DSF R -modules, then J is n -DSF R -module.

3. Let J and L be ideals of a ring R , then by 3.4, J, L are R -modules and from [12], in 3.1.3. Suppose that J, L are n -DSF R -modules, then I is n -DSF R -module.

4. Let I, J and L be ideals of a ring R , then by 3.4, I, J and L are R -modules and from [12], in 3.1.3. Suppose that I, J are n -DSF R -modules that is equivalent that $L = I \oplus J$ is n -DSF R -module.

4 Some results of n -Dual Square Free Rings with some Kinds Rings

Theorem 4.1. *Let R be a ring and I be a maximal ideal of R , then R is n -DSF ring.*

Proof. Let R be a ring and I be a maximal ideal. Let $x_i \in R$ and x_i does not belong to I , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Hence by [13], R has no proper R -submodules $J_1 = x_1R + I, J_2 = x_2R + I, \dots, J_n = x_nR + I$, with $J_i \subseteq R$ and $R = J_1 + J_2 + \dots + J_n$. It is easily to prove that $R/J_i \cong R/J_j$ with $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and $i \neq j$. Hence by [14], R is n -DSF is R -module and from 3.4, R is n -DSF ring.

Corollary 4.2. *Let R be a finitely generated ring R , then R is n -DSF ring.*

Proof. Let R be a finitely generated ring, then R has at least one maximal ideal. Suppose that this is a maximal ideal of a ring R is I and from the 4.1, hence R is n -DSF ring.

Theorem 4.3. *Let R be a local ring, then R is n -DSF ring.*

Proof. Let R be a local ring that implies that R has a unique maximal ideal I . Let $x_i \in R$ and x_i does not belong to I , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Hence by [13], R has no proper R -submodules $J_1 = x_1R + I, J_2 = x_2R + I, \dots, J_n = x_nR + I$, with $J_i \subseteq R$ and $R = J_1 + J_2 + \dots + J_n$. It is easily to prove that $R/J_i \cong R/J_j$ with $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and $i \neq j$. Hence by [12], R is n -DSF is R -module and from 3.4, R is n -DSF ring.

Theorem 4.4. *Let R be a semilocal ring, then R is n -DSF ring.*

Proof. Let R be a semilocal ring that implies that R has many finitely maximal ideals $I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n$. Let $x \in R$ and x does not belong to any maximal I_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Hence by [13], R has no proper R -submodules $J_1 = xR + I_1, J_2 = xR + I_2, \dots, J_n = xR + I_n$, with $J_i \subseteq R$ and $R = J_1 + J_2 + \dots + J_n$. It is easily to prove that $R/J_i \cong R/J_j$ with $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and $i \neq j$. Hence by [12], R is n -DSF as R -module and from 3.4, R is n -DSF ring.

Proposition 4.5. *Let R be a ring and I be an ideal, if I and R/I are n -DSF ring, then R is n -DSF ring.*

Proof. Let R be a ring and I be an ideal of R , then we have $0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/I \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence of a homomorphism ring. If I is n -DSF ideal and R/I is a n -DSF ring, then from 3.1, I and R/I are n -DSF R -modules that implies that from 3.7, they are finitely generated R -modules this equivalent that R is finitely generated R -module, then R is n -DSF R -module, hence from 3.4, R is n -DSF ring.

Proposition 4.6. *Let R be a ring, if R is Noetherian ring, then R is n -DSF ring.*

Proof. Let R be Noetherian ring, then R is Noetherian R -module and from [13], R is finitely generated R -module, hence by 3.4, R is n -DSF R -module and it is n -DSF R ring.

Theorem 4.7. *Let R be a PID ring, then R is n -DSF ring.*

Proof. Let R be a PID ring and It is a known that every ideal in a PID R is a finitely generated R submodule of R as R -module and also any finitely generated R -module has at least a maximal ideal. Suppose that R has maximal ideals as R submodules I_i and $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. and $I_i \subseteq R$. let $x \in R$ and x does not belong to any maximal ideal I_i and $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Hence R has no proper ideals as R -submodules $J_1 = x_1R + I_1, J_2 = x_2R + I_2, \dots, J_n = x_nR + I_n$. with $J_i \subseteq R$. and $R = J_1 + J_2 + \dots + J_n$. And it is clear that $R/J_i \cong R/J_j$ and $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n, i \neq j$. Hence R is n -DSF R -module and by 3.4, R is n -DSF ring.

Theorem 4.8. *Let R be a PID ring with identity. Let $I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n$ be prime ideals of R , then R is n -DSF ring.*

Proof. Let R be Let R be a PID ring with identity. Let $I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n$ be prime ideals of R this equivalent by [1] $I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n$ are maximal ideals of R and $I_i \subsetneq R$ and $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Let $x \in R$ and x does not belong to any maximal ideal of R . It is clear that every ideal of R is R -submodule of R as R -module, then by [13] R has no proper R -submodules $J_1 = xR + I_1, J_2 = xR + I_2, \dots, J_n = xR + I_n$. and $J_i \subseteq R$ with $R = J_1 + J_2 + \dots + J_n$. And it is easily prove that $R/J_i \cong R/J_j$ and $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and $i \neq j$. and hence R is n -DSF R -module and from 3.4, R is n -DSF ring.

Example 4.9. *Let $\mathbb{Z}$ be the integer ring, then $\mathbb{Z}$ is a n -DSF ring.*

Proof. It is clear that $\mathbb{Z}$ is $\mathbb{Z}$ -module and $\mathbb{Z}$ is a PID, then every ideal of $\mathbb{Z}$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}$ -submodule of $\mathbb{Z}$ as $\mathbb{Z}$ - module. Let p_i and $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ be prime numbers, then are maximal ideals and $p_i\mathbb{Z} \subsetneq \mathbb{Z}$. hence $\mathbb{Z}$ has no proper $\mathbb{Z}$ -submodules $J_1 = x\mathbb{Z} + p_1\mathbb{Z}, J_2 = x\mathbb{Z} + p_2\mathbb{Z}, \dots, J_n = x\mathbb{Z} + p_n\mathbb{Z}$. and $J_i \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ with $\mathbb{Z} = J_1 + J_2 + \dots + J_n$. And it is easily prove that $\mathbb{Z}/J_i \cong \mathbb{Z}/J_j$ and $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and $i \neq j$. and hence $\mathbb{Z}$ is n -DSF $\mathbb{Z}$ -module and from 3.4, $\mathbb{Z}$ is n -DSF ring.

5 Algebra of n -Dual Square Free Rings and ideals of them

In this part we study some algebra operations as: the intersection, addition and multiplication of n -dual square free ring and generalized them.

Proposition 5.1. *Let R and S be n -DSF rings, if R and S are distributive rings, then*

1. R and S are n -DSF rings if and only if $R + S$ is n -DSF ring.
2. R and S are n -DSF rings if and only if $R \cap S$ is n -DSF ring.
3. R and S are n -DSF rings if and only if $R \times S$ is n -DSF ring.

Proof. 1. Let R and S be n -DSF rings. We put $T = R + S$, then T is a ring and hence $T = R + S$ is a T -module. If R and S are distributive rings, then by 3.4, R is n -DSF- R -module and also S is n -DSF- S -module. By [12], $T = R + S$ is n - DSF $T = R + S$ -module, hence 3.4, $T = R + S$ is n - DSF ring.

Conversely, suppose that $R + S$ is n -DSF ring, then by 3.4, $R + S$ is n - DSF R -module. Hence [12], R is n -DSF- R -submodule and also S is n - DSF- S -module, hence 3.4, R is n -DSF- R ring and also S is n - DSF- S ring.

2. Let R and S be n -DSF rings, then $R \cap S$ are rings that implies that R is n -DSF as R -module and S is n -DSF as S -module. If R and S are distributive rings, then by 3.4, $R \cap S$ is n -DSF- R -submodule of R and also S - is n -DSF- S -submodule of S , hence by [12], $R \cap S$ is n - DSF ring.

Conversely, suppose that $R \cap S$ is n -DSF ring, then by 3.4, $R \cap S$ is n - DSF $R \cap S$ -module. By [12] R is n -DSF- $R \cap S$ -module and also S is n - DSF- $R \cap S$ -module, R is n -DSF-

3. Let R and S are rings, then $R \times S$ is a rings that implies that $R \times S$ is $R \times S$ -module. Let R and S be n -DSF rings. If R and S are distributive rings, then by 3.4, R is n -DSF- R -module and also S - is n -DSF- S -module, hence by [12], $R \times S$ is n - DSF $R \times S$ -module.

Conversely, suppose that $R \times S$ is n -DSF ring, then by 3.4, then $R \times S$ is n - DSF $R \times S$ -module. Hence by [12] R is n -DSF- $R \times S$ -submodule and also S is n - DSF- $R \times S$ -submodule of $R + S$.

Proposition 5.2. *Let R_i be n -DSF rings, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then*

1. R_i be n -DSF rings, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ if and only if $R = \sum_{i=1}^n R_i$ is n -DSF ring.
2. R_i be n -DSF rings, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ if and only if $\cap_{i=1}^n R_i$ is n -DSF ring.
3. R_i be n -DSF rings, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ if and only if $\prod_{i=1}^n R_i$ is n -DSF ring.

Proof. We will prove it by induction mathematical.

1. For $n = 2$, then from 5.1 $R_1 + R_2$ is n -DSF ring.

Suppose that the assertion is true for n , (i.e $R = \sum_{i=1}^n R_i$ is n -DSF ring, then by 3.4, $R = \sum_{i=1}^n R_i$ is n -DSF R -module if and only if by [12] R_i are n -DSF R_i -modules, hence R_i are n -DSF rings, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$).(*)

We prove it is true for $n + 1$. Let $R_1, R_2, \dots, R_n, R_{n+1}$ be n -DSF rings, then by 3.4, R_i are n -DSF R_i -modules, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n, n + 1$. And from [12], $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} R_i = \sum_{i=1}^n R_i + R_{n+1}$ is n -DSF R modules, then 5.1, $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} R_i = \sum_{i=1}^n R_i + R_{n+1}$ is n -DSF ring. And by(*) and R_{n+1} is n -DSF R -module, then $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} R_i$ is a ring, Hence, $\sum_{i=1}^n R_i$ is n -DSF ring for every n .

Conversely, suppose that $R = \sum_{i=1}^n R_i$ is n -DSF ring. then by 3.4, then R is R n -DSF modules, that implies that R_i is a submodules of R , hence R_i are n -DSF rings, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

2. For $n = 2$, then from 5.1 $R_1 \cap R_2$ is n -DSF ring.

Suppose that the assertion is true for n , (i.e $R = \cap_{i=1}^n R_i$ is n -DSF ring, then by 3.4, $R = \cap_{i=1}^n R_i$ is n -DSF R -module if and only if R_i are n -DSF $\cap_{i=1}^n R_i$ modules, hence R_i are n -DSF rings, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$).
.....(*)

We prove it is true for $n + 1$. Let $R_1, R_2, \dots, R_n, R_{n+1}$ be n -DSF rings, then by 3.4, R_i are n -DSF R_i -modules, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n, n + 1$. And from [12], $\cap_{i=1}^{n+1} R_i = \cap_{i=1}^n R_i \cap R_{n+1}$ is n -DSF R modules, then 5.1, $\cap_{i=1}^{n+1} R_i = \cap_{i=1}^n R_i \cap R_{n+1}$ is n -DSF ring. And by(*) and R_{n+1} is n -DSF R -module, then $\cap_{i=1}^{n+1} R_i$ is ring, Hence, $\cap_{i=1}^n R_i$ is n -DSF ring for every n .

Conversely, suppose that $R = \cap_{i=1}^n R_i$ is n -DSF ring. then by 3.4, R is n -DSF R -module, hence R_i is R n -DSF submodules, then R_i are n -DSF rings, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

3. For $n = 2$, then from 5.1 $R_1 \times R_2$ is n -DSF ring.

Suppose that the assertion is true for n , (i.e $R = \prod_{i=1}^n R_i$ is n -DSF ring then, by 3.4, $R = \prod_{i=1}^n R_i$ is n -DSF R -module if and only if by [12] R_i are n -DSF R_i modules, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, hence by 3.4, R_i are n -DSF rings, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$).(*)

We prove it is true for $n + 1$. Let $R_1, R_2, \dots, R_n, R_{n+1}$ be n -DSF rings, then by 3.4, R_i are n -DSF R_i -modules, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n, n + 1$. And from [12], $\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} R_i = \prod_{i=1}^n R_i \times R_{n+1}$ is n -DSF $\prod_{i=1}^n R_i \times R_{n+1}$ module then, ??, $\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} R_i = \prod_{i=1}^n R_i \times R_{n+1}$ is n -DSF ring. And by(*) and R_{n+1} is n -DSF R -module Hence, $\prod_{i=1}^n R_i$ is n -DSF ring for every n .

Conversely, suppose that $R = \prod_{i=1}^n R_i$ is n -DSF ring. then by 3.4, R is R n - module, then R_i are R_i submodule of $\prod_{i=1}^n R_i$, hence R_i are n -DSF rings, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Corollary 5.3. Let R be n -DSF ring and let I and J be ideals of a ring R . If R is distributive ring, then

1. I and J are n -DSF ideals if and only if $I + J$ is n -DSF ideal.
2. I and J are n -DSF ideals if and only if $I \cap J$ is n -DSF ideal.
3. I and J are n -DSF ideals if and only if $I \times J$ is n -DSF ideal.

Proof. 1. Let R be n -DSF ring, then R is n -DSF R -module. Let I, J be ideals of a ring R , then I, J are n -DSF R -submodules of R as R module. by [18], this implies that $I + J$ is an ideal and it is n -DSF R -submodule of R as n -DSF- R module, hence by 3.4, $I + J$ is n -DSF ideal.

Conversely, suppose that $I + J$ is n -DSF ideal, then $I + J$ is n -DSF as R submodule of a ring R as n -DSF- R module, then by 3.4, then I, J are n - DSF R modules. Hence by [12] I and J are n -DSF ideals.

2. Let R be n -DSF ring, then R is n -DSF R -module. I and J are ideals of R , then $I \cap J$ is an ideal of a ring R that implies that $I \cap J$ is R -submodule of a ring R as n -DSF- R module, then by 3.4, $I \cap J$ is n -DSF ideal.

Conversely, suppose that $I \cap J$ is n -DSF ideal of a ring R , then by 3.4, $I \cap J$ is n - DSF- R -submodule. Hence by [12] I is n -DSF- R -submodule and also J is n - DSF- R -submodule, hence by 3.4, I, J are n -DSF ideals.

3. Let R be n -DSF rings I and J be ideals of a ring R , then $I \times J$ is an ideal of a ring R that implies that $I \times J$ is R -submodule of a ring R as n -DSF- R module, then by 3.4, $I \times J$ is n -DSF ideal,

Conversely, suppose that $I \times J$ is n -DSF ideal of a ring R , then by 3.4, $I \times J$ is n - DSF- R -submodule of a ring R as n - DSF R module. Hence by [12], I is n -DSF- R -submodule and also J is n - DSF- R -submodule, hence by 3.4, I, J are n -DSF- R ideals.

Corollary 5.4. Let I_i be n -DSF ideals of a ring R , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. If R is distributive ring, then

1. I_i are n -DSF ideals, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ if and only if $\Sigma_{i=1}^n I_i$ is n -DSF an ideal.
2. I_i are n -DSF ideals, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ if and only if $\bigcap_{i=1}^n I_i$ is n -DSF an ideal.
3. I_i are n -DSF ideals, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ if and only if $\prod_{i=1}^n I_i$ is n -DSF an ideal.

- Proof.* 1. Let I_i be n -DSF ideals of n -DSF ring R , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. If R is distributive ring, then I_i are n -DSF ideals then by [12], I_i are n -DSF R -submodules of R is n -DSF R -module and by [?], and 5.2, $\sum_{i=1}^n I_i$ is n -DSF an ideal.
- Conversely, suppose that $\sum_{i=1}^n I_i$ is n -DSF ideal. then by 3.4, and [12], hence I_i are n -DSF ideals, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.
2. Let I_i be n -DSF ideals of n -DSF ring R , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. If R is distributive ring, then I_i are n -DSF ideals then by [12], I_i are n -DSF R -submodules of R is n -DSF R -module and by [?], and 5.2, $\cap_{i=1}^n I_i$ is n -DSF ideal.
- Conversely, suppose that $\cap_{i=1}^n I_i$ is n -DSF ideal. then by 3.4, and [12], hence I_i are n -DSF ideals, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.
3. Let I_i be n -DSF ideals of n -DSF ring R , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. If R is distributive ring, then I_i are n -DSF ideals then, by [12], I_i are n -DSF R -submodules of R is n -DSF R -module and by [?], and 5.2, $\prod_{i=1}^n I_i$ is n -DSF an ideal.
- Conversely, suppose that $\prod_{i=1}^n I_i$ is n -DSF ideal. then by 3.4, and [12], hence I_i are n -DSF ideals, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

References

- [1] Adkins, William A. and Weintraub, Steven H., *Graduate Texts in Mathematics in Algebra*, Springer-Verlag, 1992.
- [2] Atiyah, M. F and Macdonald, I. G., (1969) *Introduction to Commutative Algebra* Addison-Wesley publishing company, ISBN 0-201-00361-9, University of Oxford.
- [3] Anderson, F. W. and Fuller, K. R., *Rings and Categories of Modules*, Graduate Texts Mathematics, vol. 13, 2nd edition, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992.
- [4] Ara, P. , *Extensions of exchange rings*, J. Algebra, 197 (1997), 409 - 423.
- [5] Camille, V. P., Khurana, D. , Lam, T. Y., Nicholson, W. K., Zhou, Y., *Continuous modules are clean*, J. Algebra, 304(2006), 94-11.
- [6] Camillo, V. P., Yu, H. P., *Exchange rings, units and idempotents*, Commutative algebra, 22(1994), 4737-4749.
- [7] Clark, J., Lomp, C., Vanaja, N., Wisbauer, R., *Lifting Modules*, Basel-Boston-Berlin: Birkhauser Verlag, (2006).
- [8] Ding, N. , Ibrahim, Y. , Yousif, M., Zhou, Y., *D_4 -modules*, J. Algebra, App., 16 (5) (2017).
- [9] Glaz, S., *Commutative Coherent rings*, Lecture Notes in Math, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [10] Hamsher, R. M., *Commutative rings over which every module has a maximal submodule*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc, 18(1967), 1133-1137.
- [11] Humphreys John F., *A Course in Group Theory*, (2001), Oxford University press.
- [12] Kaed, Abdul-Qawe and Alyari, Abdulwali . *n -Dual Square Free R -Module*. Albaydha University Journal 6(1, 2024). DOI : <https://doi.org/10.56807/buj.v6i1.560>
- [13] Kasch, F., *Modules and rings*, Academic press, (1982).
- [14] Ibrahim, Y., Yousif, M. , *Dual-square-free module*, Communications in Algebra, (2019), 47:7, 2954-2966, DOI: [10.1080/00927872.2018.1543429](https://doi.org/10.1080/00927872.2018.1543429).
- [15] Lam., *lectures on modules and rings* (Graduate in Mathematics 189), (1999), springer-verleg New yourk, Inc.
- [16] Lam. *a first course in noncommutative rings* (Graduate in Mathematics 131), (1991), springer-verleg New yourk, Inc.
- [17] Mohamed, S. H., Muller, B. J., *Continuous and discrete Modules*, Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University, 1990.
- [18] Mohamed, S. H., Muller, B. J., *On the exchange property for Quasi- Continuous Modules*, (1995).
- [19] Nathan, Jacobson, *Basic algebra 1* (1985), second edition By W.H freeman and company, Yale Universty.
- [20] Nathan, Jacobson, *Basic algebra 2* (1989), second edition by W.H freeman and company, Yale Universty.
- [21] Nicholson, P. P., *Countable exchange and full exchange rings*, Comm. Algebra, 35(2007), 3-23.

- [22] Nicholson, P. P., *Square-free modules with the exchange property*, J. Algebra, 323(2010),1993-2001.
- [23] Nicholson W. K., *Lifting idempotent and exchange rings*, Tras. Am. Math. Soc, 229(1977), 269-278.
- [24] Rotman, Joseph J., *advanced modern algebra* ,(2002) and (2003), publisher prentice Hall, edition: 2nd printing language: English; ISBN 013087685.
- [25] Rotman, Joseph J., *A first course in abstract algebra* , (2005), University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, prentice hall, Upper Saddle River, New Jersey 07458, The third edition.
- [26] Tutuncu, D. K., Kikumasa, I. ,Kuratomi Y., Shibata Y., *On dual of square free modules*. Comm. Algebra to appear.
- [27] Wisbauer, R., *Foundations of Module and Ring Theory* , Gordon and Breach, Reading, ©1991.
- [28] Zimmermann-Huisgen B., Zimmermann W., *Classes of modules with the exchange property*, J. Algebra, 88(2) (1984), 416-434.